## POWER ACT PRESENTATION

IMPROVING PRO BONO REPRESENTATION

FOR

VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

October 4, 2022 12:06 p.m.

HOST: HONORABLE KAREN FRINK WOLF

## Panelists:

Darcie N. McElwee, United States Attorney
Francine Garland Stark
Patrisha McLean
Rick Doyle, Esquire
Elizabeth Stout, Esquire

JUDGE WOLF: All right, we're going to get started because we're just about ten past.

So thank you all for being here. This is such a wonderful turnout. I'm very excited to be hosting the third POWER Act presentation. And for those of you who have not participated before, let me just tell you a little bit about what the POWER Act is and why we're here today.

So the POWER Act is an act that was enacted by Congress in 2018. Its -- its full name is the Pro bono Work to Empower and Represent Act. And that Act requires each judicial -- each federal judicial district in the nation each year to lead at least one public event in partnership with a domestic violence service provider or coalition and a state or local volunteer lawyer project.

The goal is to promote pro bono legal services as a critical way in which to empower survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, and engage citizens in assisting those survivors.

A lot of attorneys, of course, are on today, but this event was opened also to law students and to the public. I hope we have them as well because as we'll hear about later this is a collaborative effort -- a collaborative effort. It literally takes a village or

ten villages to defeat domestic violence and support survivors, and that's what this program is all about.

It's not just about having a bunch of lawyers on the line and beating them over the heads to involve themselves in pro bono. There will be a little of that, I promise, but there are lots and lots of ways to become involved.

So our program today coincides with National Domestic Violence Awareness and Prevention Month. This has been a month that is -- that is -- has been in place since 1987, I believe, in order to bring attention to this terrible problem and help everybody to work together to find solutions and support.

I want to read to you a couple of things from the Proclamation from the White House on September 30th regarding Domestic Violence Awareness and Prevention Month. So, during this month we continue to shine light on the causes of this scourge, strengthen the ability of federal, state, tribal, territorial, and local officials to take action, and call on all communities to strengthen prevention efforts. The Administration is working to ensure that all survivors have access to justice and the support they need for their hearing -- for their healing and well-being.

It goes on later to say: As we continue the

essential work of ending domestic violence, we can all help build a culture where abuse is not tolerated and where survivors are heard, supported, and protected. We can express our gratitude to the remarkable people and organizations that offer care and critical services to survivors of domestic violence, and we must remain committed to building a better world where all people can feel safe and respected and leave -- and live free from abuse.

You're going to meet today, if you don't know them all already, some of those remarkable people and organizations that do offer this care and support who lead the charge every single day, and I hope with the assistance of lawyers on the screen today and beyond we can all work together to strike out domestic violence.

I'm going to give you some sobering statistics and then we'll move on and talk about our -- our wonderful panel. So, statistically one in four women and one in nine men will experience domestic violence in their lifetime. Native American women are two to three times more likely to experience violence than any other race. In Maine between 5,000 and 6,000 protection from abuse orders are filed each year, and in Maine courts process between 4,000 and 5,000 criminal cases of domestic abuse and violence each year.

The more sobering statistic I think is that the majority of all domestic violence incidents are never reported to the police. And of course domestic violence affects not just those who are victims and then survivors. In 2021, 221 children in Maine accessed emergency shelter with their parents at a domestic violence resource center.

Another statistic that's important, legal services are second only to medical services as the most requested need of victims. However, of all women who reported needing legal services, 64 percent received no assistance from an attorney, and that's a national statistic.

So we're going to talk about whether Maine has made improvements, whether we have made progress, but obviously there is so much work still to be done.

Our panel today, I'm going to introduce them. First Darcie McElwee who is the United States Attorney for the District of Maine. She has been in place for just about a year now, and we're very excited about that. Before she was the U.S. Attorney, Darcie was Assistant U.S. Attorney in Maine for 19 years primarily prosecuting violent crimes such as sex trafficking, interstate domestic violence, and child exploitation. Prior to that she served on the state side as an Assistant

District Attorney in Penobscot and Piscataquis Counties from '98 to 2002.

Francine Garland Starck is the executive director of the Maine Coalition to End Domestic Violence. It has been Francine's work for over 35 years to impact this area in a major way. She is -- and I'm going to let her tell you a little bit about the Maine Coalition in a moment.

Francine has served on the Governor's Commission on Domestic Violence and Sexual Abuse and the Domestic Abuse Homicide Review Panel. Her perspective is one that's important. Her work is inspired by her grandmother and her sisters' experience of domestic -- experiences of domestic abuse and violence and her own history of sexual violence.

Patrisha McLean. Patrisha is an artist, photojournalist, and founder/president of Finding Our Voices, a survivor-powered grassroots nonprofit breaking the silence of domestic abuse across Maine and giving us pictures of what domestic violence survivors look like. The photographs that you saw as you were waiting for the program to start are -- are hers, and we'll talk about that in a little bit more.

Patrisha has a story as well, a story of somebody who was a person of means but found herself struggling

to find resources and assistance in the system in her own story of domestic violence.

Rick Doyle is on our panel. Rick is the managing attorney at NextStep Domestic Violence Project in Hancock and Washington Counties. Important to point that out for lots of reasons, not the least of which is that in Maine's most underserved populations in our most rural areas where access to justice is difficult to begin with, in this important realm of domestic violence it becomes truly critical.

Next, Elizabeth Stout. Liz is the executive director of the Maine Volunteer Lawyers Project. She came on as executive director of VLP in September of 2020 following in the footsteps of another access to justice champion, the late Juliet Holmes-Smith.

Liz has always been a step ahead when it comes to access to justice, starting incubator low-bono service in 2015. She leads the charge for another program in Maine involving rural access to justice.

Really proud to have our entire panel here today, and I -- they all have such important stories to tell and hopefully will make you feel that this is an area that is important for action and -- and work on your part.

So, with that I'm going to call upon Patrisha to

tell us a little bit about her story and her experience in the court system, and her experience with survivors, and how attorneys and the public generally can help. So Patrisha. You're on mute. It's not a day without a -- without a mute malfunction. There you go.

MS. MCLEAN: Thank you, Judge Wolf, for inviting me to be on this panel, and thank you everybody who has given the time, too, all the lawyers out there and legal people who have joined us.

I started Finding Our Voices four-and-a-half years ago after my husband at the time was arrested for domestic violence and there were headlines everywhere, but the headlines in my community in the Camden Herald are what were I guess most upsetting because we were the family on top of a hill, and I always thought we were looked upon as a golden couple, and all of a sudden my secret of 29 years was out when he was arrested for domestic violence. And people all around me just started to let me know, after that, that it happened to me, it happened to me. It was everywhere and I just hadn't known that. So this was to let everyone else know, wow, look what's going on, like this is everywhere but it's hidden.

And the photos that you saw in the beginning are I think 20 out of 43 women now, Maine survivors who are on

these posters that we're taking around to everywhere.

I have -- I have taken them personally, big posters, to about 80 plus towns in Maine and talked to -- went into about 4,000 different stores and had conversations on Main Streets all over Maine about domestic violence.

And I'm here to let everybody know that from the conversations that I have it's almost like every -- every second person that I have talked to will say that either they have been terrorized by an intimate partner or their mother was a victim, which then I'll say well you also were because you grew up in it, a sister or something like that.

And of the women on our posters, those are 43 survivors, I would say like maybe a third are still being terrorized even beyond getting out of the relationship in post separation abuse and -- through the courts most likely. Because these guys weaponize the courts and the children to keep their power and control because domestic abuse, right, is all about power and control.

We have something called a Get Out Stay Out Fund in Finding Our Voices which helps women to get out and stay out of DV, and we pay for things like car repairs, and apartment rent, U-Haul, things like that, but almost the thing that -- the thing that we -- echoing what Judge

Wolf said in her earlier, the thing that we're asked for over and over again are legal consultations.

And I'm so grateful, of course, to Pine Tree and Volunteer Lawyer Project and then the legal services in the domestic abuse agency -- agencies, but it's a drop in the bucket of what is required. And the horrible thing is a lot of times women in domestic violence will be told to represent themselves. Like how can you do that? You're -- you have just gotten out of this situation, you're terrified for your life, you're terrified for your whole world has been turned upside down, and the perpetrator will often have a lawyer.

And of course why will the perpetrator have a lawyer? Because financial abuse is in basically every case of domestic abuse, and that was the case with me also.

So the perpetrator has the money, he has the lawyer, and the victim has to represent herself or -- with all these other services they're wonderful, but they're limited. So they need help representing themselves in -- with PFAs, which are often can be available, but also for the criminal case.

And because, for instance, for me my victim advocate didn't tell me about -- I had no idea about the -- the

court hearings that were coming up. So I was in touch with my -- with the victim advocate, and I wanted to be there, I wanted to -- I was -- I was cooperating, I wanted to testify, and then I was told all of a sudden that there was going to be a deferred disposition. I didn't know what that meant. These terms were just being thrown at me.

And at the time -- at this time I had engaged Chris MacLean, who is a wonderful lawyer, and he was representing me, and he let me know that -- the victim advocate said to me there is a hearing coming up but you -- you don't need to attend it, and my lawyer said I think you should attend it -- attend it. It was actually the sentencing date. And I -- I have heard from women that they are retaining -- they need a lawyer just to be able to keep up with things like that because it's not happening by the victim witness advocates, which it should be.

I just want to give you a few examples of just some things that through our Get Out Stay Out Fund that lawyer consultations have paid for and how they have helped women, and these are things that I am hoping that lawyers out there will step up and do pro bono. This sometimes is just one hour, two hours, maybe three or four hours if they could do. That would just change so

much if they would offer to do that for prioritizing women in domestic violence.

And the reason I feel that needs to be prioritized is because of the -- the -- the shift with the -- it's just all wrong. Like the perpetrators have the rights and the perpetrators have the means because of the financial control, so it's just a way to level that field. And then I will tell a little bit of my own situation after that, but just some -- a few examples.

So a woman got in touch with me and her -- her ex-husband, he was in prison twice for his violent assault to her, and she had an active PFA on him. So she was -- at this point she -- she texted him something about you have got -- get your life in order, because his life was a mess and he was still haranguing her, and he used that text to get -- to file for a protection from abuse order against her saying that -- that she was threatening his life.

This woman -- a lot was riding on this because if she had been -- if he had granted the PFA on her she was afraid that -- she wanted to get a loan to get a trailer and rebuild her life, and she was afraid that that would -- she -- it would -- she would not be able to get a bank loan if he had a PFA on her, which was a totally ridiculous thing that he -- that he would. So we got a

lawyer consultation for her, it was just about an hour, and the lawyer just gave her kind of like a cheat sheet of what to say in court and to prevail on this.

And I was in court with her and it was remarkable to me that it kind of could have gone both ways because the judge was listening to him, he was listening to her, but in the end she prevailed.

Another one, a situation, a woman referred to us by her English as a second language teacher in the Lewiston area. She had four kids, they were living -- the four kids and her ex had like gone to another state with his new girlfriend and she just she needed help filing for divorce. She had no idea how to do that.

Another woman was living in an apartment owned by her ex's parents. When she left her ex he -- the parents evicted her. Even though there was domestic violence there.

Another one, a woman's ex-husband damaged the apartment she was living in and the landlord was coming after her for the damages. These are just simple things.

But then there is the situations where there is boxes and boxes of court records because these guys are continually bringing the women into court and harassment and they're not -- they're violating the contempt

without any repercussion and on and on, so sometimes it does take a little more work.

And I just want to give an example of something I saw that was so wrong where a woman got in touch with me and she -- her -- her abusive ex who had beat her up all the time, he took the children. He -- this happens all the time. He took the children, he was in contempt, he didn't bring the children back, and she just couldn't -- he had a lawyer, she didn't have a lawyer, she couldn't get her children. And it was over Christmas, she hadn't seen them for about two weeks.

So the judge was ordering a conference, and he had a lawyer, she didn't have a lawyer. She asked me if I would sit in the conference with her to provide some support, so I did.

And the lawyer -- what the conference was about was that they were trying to serve her with papers for a court motion because he was filing a court motion, and she didn't want to accept those papers because every time there was a court activity it was -- it was sinking her further and further because of this harassment, and the judge wouldn't listen to that.

The judge was all about why aren't you, you know, giving your address for the court papers, and she was just trying to say that he has had my children for two

weeks and not given -- and the judge wouldn't even address that. And so it was the judge, it was her, it was his lawyer, and I wasn't able to say anything, and they were just completely sinking her and she had no voice in this, and I just thought that was so wrong.

And -- so, anyway, who needs representation, who needs legal representation of the domestic violence victims out there? It's not just poor people. Well, it is poor people because what happens is every domestic violence women ends up basically being poor a lot of times because of the financial abuse.

So we had four homes. When I walked out, when I left my husband, everything was in his name. I had nothing in my name. We had been together for 29 years. The four homes were in his name. My car was in his name. I had had some savings that I had built up over all this time, and he, knowing that I was trying to leave, he invested them in what turned out to be Brazilian junk bonds so it had half the money left.

And so I -- I was able to afford a lawyer -- first of all, finding a lawyer. And that's the other thing. You ask around -- I never knew that -- anything about lawyers before this happened and before my ex was arrested, I didn't know anything about this. So I was asking all around all my friends for lawyers, and they

were giving me suggestions of people who were not family law lawyers, they were all different kinds of lawyers.

So, first of all, it's finding a lawyer who knows about family law, but then it's also finding a lawyer who knows about domestic violence. And that's what I would urge all of you, anyone who is going to take anything on pro bono, and I really hope you will, you know, read Lundy Bancroft's Why Does He Do That? Inside the Minds of Angry and Controlling Men, and just get some education about domestic violence so you understand it because that is critical for really helping women to understand the dynamic of the power and control.

For instance when they -- in -- in a settlement, you know, my lawyer at the time who was not Chris MacLean, it was someone else, put it in that before every -- any court motion there has to be mediation. You can't mediate with an abuser.

I think I'm a little worried about going over time, so I'm -- there is a lot to say, but basically just to say that ostensibly I looked like I had -- I was a woman of means, but because of the power and control dynamic and I -- I -- I was looking at really having nothing, and so I could have been in a situation where I could have used a pro bono lawyer just to -- just to rescue me, protect me, and keep me from completely sinking.

And if any of you are interested, just Finding Our -- hello@findingourvoices.net, get in touch with me and to offer some pro bono help for the women that we are trying to keep above water and their children as well. Thank you.

JUDGE WOLF: Thank you, Patrisha. I'm now going to turn to Francine. I would like her to tell you a little bit about the Maine Coalition and what it does and then talk about the important policy work that speaks to some of the issues that Patrisha discussed, in particular educating not just the public but those involved in the system, judges, lawyers, others, regarding the particular dynamics that are in play in this area, so Francine.

MS. STARK: Thank you so much, Judge Wolf, and thank you Patrisha. It's always -- it's always helpful to hear so many examples of how difficult it is for people from all walks of life to navigate the legal system and how great the need is.

The Maine Coalition to End Domestic Violence advocates for the rights of all people to live free from domestic abuse and all forms of violence. We take a transformative justice approach in our work as articulated by the late South African Archbishop Desmond Tutu who said that justice requires three things: No.

1, that the truth be told; No. 2, that to whatever extent possible the harm be repaired; and, No. 3, that the conditions that produce the injustice be changed.

We take this approach at both the individual survivor level and in our state level public policy work.

The Maine Coalition to End Domestic Violence represents the eight regional domestic violence resource centers and the Immigrant Resource Center of Maine that provides culturally specific services, and these resource centers provide assistance 24/7. It gives people access to confidential trauma-informed responsive advocates who are prepared to listen deeply giving survivors the opportunity to tell their truths, to describe their experiences.

Advocates support survivors in seeking what those particular survivors see as justice, collaborate with them to envision pathways to safety, opening the door to healing, and connecting them with resources so they and their children can live free from abuse and change the circumstances of their lives.

On the statewide level on an average day, MCDV's network is assisting as many as 500 individuals on a given day and responding to at least 80 crisis calls.

In 2021 Maine's Domestic Violence Resource Centers

provided services to over 11,000 people and we fielded over 17,000 helpline calls, had an additional nearly 40,000 calls to support people. We are -- we are overwhelmed with the demand for our services.

More than 5,600 of the survivors that we helped we assisted with legal matters, and nearly 1,000 received assistance with shelter, transitional or permanent housing. And survivors accessing our services overwhelmingly report that they feel better informed about resources available to them and that they feel better able to plan for their safety.

We represent our membership to bring those lived experiences of survivors to the state and national policy making spaces to lead and inform lawmaking institutional policy and practice and community understanding regarding the root causes of abuse and violence as well as pathways to justice.

Our movement started in the 70s. It was organized by groups of survivors and their allies in towns and cities across the state, and the first domestic violence agencies collaborated to create the Maine Coalition in 1977 to do the state level policy work we continue today. And over the past 45 years our public policy work began with that landmark legislation of 1980 that created the protection from abuse order statute and

required law enforcement to treat crimes against family or household members the same as crimes involving strangers.

Over its first 30 years MCDV's public policy focus concentrated on the criminal legal system, and today Maine has one of the most robust sets of laws in the country in terms of the criminal justice system's ability to respond rapidly and with consequence to those who commit domestic violence crimes.

What we know, however, is that while between four and 5,000 criminal cases are processed in Maine's courts each year, the majority of domestic violence crimes are not reported to law enforcement. And for those cases that are reported, rarely are those arrested for domestic violence crimes sentenced to substantial jail time. Even though the statutory framework provides for that possibility, we know that these individuals are living in our communities. Many have children.

What kind of society and legal framework will keep adults and children safe in communities where someone known to have done them harm is also residing and likely to continue presenting a threat to their safety? This is a critical question for us to wrangle with. The answer is not simple, but the civil justice system is a critical component.

In the past several years MCDV's public policy work has shifted to a greater focus on the civil justice system and intersecting issues such as economic justice, health care access, housing access, and child welfare. Our work frequently involves our member programs and community partners collaborating with us in gathering information, putting together data and writing reports that have resulted in statutory changes and policy standards that take the lived experiences survivors into account.

As a result of our work, people seeking licensure to be mental health professionals in our state need at least 12 hours of domestic violence specific content in order to obtain and retain their licensure. We also do reports and have taken on the role of supporting the quality assurance standards and training of the domestic violence intervention programs for those who commit domestic violence crimes.

In 2017 MCDV partnered with New Ventures Maine to conduct a survey of over 140 survivors regarding their experience of economic abuse specifically as a tactic of domestic abuse. And this is of particular interest to folks who are talking about working in issues of divorce and -- and parental rights because these survivors

81 percent cited economic abuse as a barrier to their

being able to get free. Sixty two percent of survivors said that their abuser had interfered with their ability to maintain employment. Everything from turning off the alarm to hiding the car keys to assaulting them before they went to work. Fifty seven percent of survivors reported that the abuser had incurred debt in their name and didn't pay it, and 72 percent of abusers lied about whether they had paid the bills. And we also know that survivors reported 85 percent of the time that this really impacted their ability to meet just the children's basic daily needs.

In the past two years in the legislature we championed bills that have been enacted that do a number of important things. We have clarified and recodified the protection from abuse order statute. We have increased the education requirements for judicial officers regarding domestic violence, child abuse, neglect and maltreatment issues. We have increased domestic violence and violence-related training requirements for guardians ad litem both to be rostered and as continuing education. We added economic abuse as an exceptional circumstance to be considered by a court when determining spousal support or the distribution of property, allocating responsibility for repairing those harms to the persons who have caused them. We have

amended the Maine Human Rights Act to prevent employers and housing providers from discriminating against survivors on the basis that they have sought and obtained protection from abuse orders. And we have increased the statute of limitations for civil suits based on assault and battery and false imprisonment from two years to six.

So key to accessing these civil remedies for harms committed by abusive ex partners and spouses is legal representation. Yet such legal representation is so difficult to obtain for most domestic abuse survivors in our state. It's expensive, and in rural Maine there are a few -- are very few attorneys available.

As Patrisha noted, it's crucial that our legal systems have a deep understanding of domestic violence and abuse dynamics.

We are so pleased that our collaborative relationship with the judicial branch will bring the Battered Women's Justice Project to Maine to provide a full day of training to family court professionals, including judges, next fall.

We all know that survivors are in very dangerous circumstances much of the time, particularly at the time they choose to end the relationship with the person abusing them. In my service on the domestic violence

homicide review panel we see this over and over again.

Having an attorney to navigate the civil legal system is often a critical component of short-term life-saving strategizing, long-term economic stability, and children's ability to thrive beyond abuse.

The legal advocates at Maine's domestic violence resource centers can provide emotional support and other practical assistance for survivors that will help make the job of an attorney a little bit easier, will help you keep the time spent with the survivor focused on case strategy and well informed. This is teamwork requiring the whole community to step up and reach out.

You can be so helpful by offering pro bono representation or consultation through the Volunteer Lawyers Project or Pine Tree Legal Assistance's coordinated pro bono programs. You can serve on the board of directors of your local domestic violence resource center, or even mine. You can donate to our work.

We operate a liberation fund which was created at the beginning of the pandemic with some generous donors to do some of the things that Patrisha was describing that she is doing with the fund at Finding Our Voices. We have distributed over \$300,000 in the -- since the summer of 2020 to meet really practical needs of

survivors.

So I just want to thank you all for being part of this today, and I really hope that this conversation will inspire folks to find their way to be part of the solution in our magnificent we state where we know each other and care deeply.

JUDGE WOLF: Thank you very much, Francine.

We're now going to turn to Rick Doyle. So, Rick, as I mentioned, is the managing attorney at NextStep Domestic Violence Project in Hancock and Washington Counties.

And, Rick, as somebody who is working with survivors in more remote rural areas of our state, are we doing anything better or are we slipping behind in other ways, and -- and how can we help in -- in that particular demographic?

MR. DOYLE: Thank you, Judge Wolf. I think -- I think the answer to -- to your question are we doing better or are we slipping behind is -- from my perspective anyway it's kind of a mixed answer. It's kind of we're doing better in some ways, in other ways we're slipping behind. And I think in important ways we're slipping behind partly as a result of economic factors that are really beyond anyone's control right now.

Things were tough when I started this economically

for the people that I worked with, and they're -they're tougher today than they were then I think. So
it's kind of a mixed -- a mixed bag. But I -- I -as -- as the judge noted, I'm the managing attorney at
NextStep.

NextStep is a member project of the Maine Coalition to End Domestic Violence, and we operate primarily in Hancock and Washington Counties, as the judge noted.

That's -- which may sound like a couple of cozy little counties on the coast, but it's -- geographically it's about the size of Rhode Island, and we're dealing with -- we're appearing in three courts there, in Machias, Calais, and Ellsworth, so it's a -- it's a -- it's a pretty broad territory physically for us to look after, and it's all rural.

You know, it's -- it's -- all of these issues that crop up in any case crop up with special -- special bite, I think, in rural areas where people may not have access to transportation or child care or indeed a number of other things that people can count on sometimes in more rural areas.

I want to note that the -- when I first started this work -- I have been -- I am in my 19th year, I guess, now, so heading toward my 20th anniversary at NextStep.

They asked me when they hired me, I think it was the

last question in my interview, do you think you can commit to a couple of years at NextStep, and I said, yeah, I think so, and here I am. I -- you know, the work has been very satisfying to me, very challenging, very satisfying, and I just don't really have any plans to go anywhere else at this point.

The NextStep -- the mission of NextStep is consistent with what Francine described, we're part of what I think of as -- as a coordinated community response. You know, as a domestic violence resource center we're there to offer services. But those services can't exist in a vacuum, there has to be help from community partners and awareness throughout the -- the community of domestic violence in order for our efforts to -- to bear fruit.

And so I think -- you know, as I said, I have been doing this for going on 20 years. I don't know, I don't remember now what I thought -- what I expected, you know, when I first started this work. I -- but I expect that I had some notions about who would be a victim of domestic violence, you know, what would they look like, and socioeconomic terms, and age, and all of that.

And I can tell you that 20 years whatever my -- my thoughts were, whatever my preconceptions were, 20 years of practice in this field has persuaded me that -- that,

you know, a victim of domestic violence can be anyone at any time. And I'm always reminded of that when I hear Patrisha talk about her story. She is really good at reminding us that, you know, this is not just one small segment of the population that's -- that's vulnerable to this, this can be anybody regardless of socioeconomic status, race, what have you.

And so we're there and we're trying to provide -you know, the legal team at NextStep has the mission of
providing civil legal representation and other legal
services to survivors of domestic violence. What that
means in practice is that I spend a lot of my time doing
protection from abuse actions and a lot of my time in
family court, too, doing divorces and parental rights
actions in other -- other cases.

We -- we have -- on the legal team at NextStep we have two attorneys including myself, we have a legal services coordinator who is essentially a paralegal, and then we have two part-time court advocates who wear other hats here at NextStep but who spend a lot of time going to court with people and giving them moral support and other kinds of support when they go in for a PFA or a family matter proceeding. So that's my team. It's a great team. I'm very proud of them. We work really hard to try to provide civil legal representation

wherever we can.

As you may know, you -- attorneys who are familiar with the PFA action, everything runs on kind of a 21-day clock. You know, there's supposed to be a final hearing within 21 days from the date that -- that this action is initiated. What that means is that my life runs on a 21-day clock sometimes, and so what I would like to do is just give you kind of a picture of one 21-day clock. This is made up of composite characters. In other words, these are all things that happened but not -- I tried to deidentify these stories as much as possible.

So, about 20 days away from the PFA hearing date we hear from Gina. Gina is 27 years old and I represented her about six months ago in a protection from abuse action. She had filed individually and on behalf of herself. On behalf of her -- her eight-year-old son.

We had a contested hearing -- defendant was pro se, had a contested hearing. The Court issued an order individually for -- for Gina and declined on behalf of her son but did award her temporary sole parental rights and responsibilities.

Defendant viol -- actually violated that order, that final order, in the courthouse before he left and was charged with violation of a protection order.

Recently she has reached out to us because he broke

into her home, assaulted a third party, and then left immediately for their child's school covered with blood stating that he was going to pick the child up and indicating that he would take the child out of the state and Gina wouldn't be able to find her again.

So Gina came to us, we assisted her in drafting a second PFA complaint, this time on behalf of her son, and -- and I agreed to representation. So that's the first client, and that's -- that comes to us about 21 days out from final hearing.

A few days later we hear from Ronnie Jo who is 42 years old. She was in an unmarried relationship and had their three children of that relationship. She was brutally assaulted by her domestic partner and sustained severe injuries that would re -- will require surg -- multiple surgeries to correct. The minor children were all present during the assault. Mandated report was made to DHHS by law enforcement, DHHS opened an assessment.

Ronnie Jo actually did her own PFA statement. She handwrote the statement, filed it with the court, and then we heard from her a few days after the temporary order had issued. There again I agreed to -- to representation.

And then a couple of days after we take Ronnie Jo on

we hear from Sophie who is age 62. Sophie actually has a protection order against her former -- her husband who is incarcerated for -- because of a crime that's not related to Sophie.

He has -- she -- he threatened Sophie before the trial that led to his conviction. She got a protection from abuse order on her own, and now that protection from abuse order is set to expire.

During his incarceration her husband has sent her two letters, and in one of those letters he threatened to have her killed if she filed for divorce. Sophie reported both letters and he was charged, among other things, with violating the no contact provision of the protection from abuse order.

Her protection from abuse order is about to expire, so she calls us for help with the motion to extend, and we agree to have an advocate sit down and help her draft that affidavit, and then I agreed to represent her as well.

So as the clock is ticking we're getting all three of these cases ready for court. With Gina we find that the -- that another attorney is also representing her in a postjudgment motion in family court. So one of the first things I do, after I talked with Gina about this PFA, is reach out to that attorney consulting with that

attorney with regard to any possible implications that a PFA might have for -- for the FM matter. We talk a little bit about evidentiary kinds of issues as well.

You know, in prepping for a -- for a PFA hearing I don't do anything that would be surprising to any trial attorney. I meet with the client. I draft a set of proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law with some specifics about the remedies that we're looking for. We interview witnesses. We draft direct examinations, make some notes for cross-examination, get subpoenas out to any witnesses.

In Gina's case we were -- we subpoenaed the teacher who was there in the classroom when -- when he showed up to take the child.

And as we go through this process and -- also, let me go back to Ronnie Jo's case. This is the one where there was a serious assault that led to several surgeries ultimately. In Ronnie Jo's case we had some community partners who really stepped up including responding officer from the local law enforcement agency who had been called, and actually the -- the CPS worker who had interviewed the children. We determined pretty quickly that both that law enforcement officer and that DHHS case worker had some testimony that would be helpful at final hearing so we got subpoenas out to

them.

When I say we got subpoenas out, I'm -- I'm relying on our legal services coordinator and to a certain extent our -- our court advocates. They do all of the -- the legwork. You know, they'll get subpoenas out, they'll maybe pre-interview a witness for me if I -- if I need some notes before I sit down and talk with a witness about what they might testify about. So all of this is happening.

At the same time and always through these cases as we prepare for hearing we're talking about safety planning. You know, I want to know when I'm talking with my client, you know, for each scenario we talk about -- whether it's an agreed order or a contested hearing -- you know, what's going to make you safer? What's going to make you less safe? And we keep coming back to that question over and over again, and that's really sort of what guides me as I plan these cases.

With regard to Sophie, in this case it was a little frustrating because Sophie lost phone service during -- after she had come to us about the motion to extend and we found that we had no way to communicate with her. So I will tell you that we ended up seeing Sophie on the morning of the hearing. I'm delighted to -- to say that she showed up. We were able to make a connection.

Fortunately she had copies of the letters that he had sent from -- from jail and I had one of our court advocates run over to the clerk, see if they could make some copies for us so we could use those at hearing.

So we get to the final hearing day and with Gina the defendant didn't show up. So I asked the court for a default order; the court issued a default order on behalf of her son.

With regard to Ronnie Jo, defendant showed up, he was pro se. I talked with him about whether he would be willing to agree to an order. We didn't get anywhere with that conversation, so we had a contested hearing.

We put on I think three or four witnesses, it was maybe about a half a day hearing, and ultimately the court -the court issued a final order for two years and the -it was individually for Ronnie Jo and awarding her
temporary sole parental rights and responsibilities with
regard to the children, and the court also issued a
child support order.

And some of the prep for that had included our legal services coordinator sitting down with her and drafting that child support affidavit so we would have that ready for the hearing date.

We also had some transportation and child care issues there. Ronnie Jo needed help with both of those

things and our advocates were able to arrange all of that for her.

And then, as I said, Sophie showed up last minute. The defendant in this case was pro se. He had done a writ so he showed up at the court with a -- in the company of a corrections officer. When I finally had a chance to go talk to him, we had a very brief conversation. He agreed to a two-year extension of the protection order which was satisfactory to Sophie and the court. We let the court know and they entered that.

So, you know, there is a -- there is a lot going on, you know, as that 21-day clock runs. This is not an unusual sort of slice of what we do, you know, where we're getting ready for three or more clients for -- for that PFA docket.

And I hope what I -- partly what I have given you a sense of is not only, you know, where some of those obstacles lie that go with being in a rural catchment area, transportation, child care, things like that, but also I -- I hope you have gotten a suggestion of just how important that coordinated community response is.

You know, without those community partners and without the advocates being able to reach out to taxi drivers and, you know, volunteers who are able to provide child care, we might not have gotten the same

results in these three cases certainly and in many others. So what we're doing is just a -- our small piece to try to level the playing field and to make sure that where the court can issue a protection order it's able to do so.

JUDGE WOLF: Thank you very much, Rick. So, Rick's days probably don't sound enviable to you, and part of this program is really designed obviously to get lawyers and law students and others to participate and to help how they can. So that brings some challenges as well. Some of you on the screen are probably saying I don't know one thing about doing a protection from abuse hearing, I don't do any criminal work so I can't really be a victim advocate of any sort, but we've also heard about other areas where things are so important because of particularly economic circumstances or economic abuse.

In addition, as we've also heard, domestic abuse and violence touches everyone. And we have particularly some very vulnerable populations, immigrants who face immigration issues as a result of domestic violence, we have women who are older who have disability issues and need help really with respect to elder law, health care issues, education issues.

So I'm going to turn now to Liz Stout, and the

question is a broad one, Liz, how -- how can lawyers help? Because clearly it's necessary for private lawyers to step in and assist. We can't -- the domestic violence providers, the Volunteer Lawyers Project providers, immigration services, can't do it all, so how can lawyers help?

MS. STOUT: Thank you, Judge Wolf, I would be happy to address that. And let me just say thank you for putting together this panel, and I'm delighted to speak to this incredibly distinguished audience. Thank you for -- for having me.

My name is Elizabeth Stout. I'm the executive director of the Volunteer Lawyers Project. Many of you are familiar with the Volunteer Lawyers Project generally and you know that our -- with a very small staff our role is to connect volunteer lawyers with people who need help in a wide variety of civil legal problems.

Domestic violence is a very significant priority area for the Volunteer Lawyers Project, and we have a couple of programs that focus on that directly; but before I talk about that, let me answer your question, Judge Wolf, and explain that as has been mentioned earlier today survivors of domestic violence often have legal problems in a variety of areas.

We were lucky enough to recently be partners on a federal grant called Legal Assistance to Victims which really has helped us focus on this broader area of need in civil legal matters, but we have survivors of domestic violence who need help with a whole range of issues including bankruptcy-related issues.

We've had several folks who needed to file innocent spouse protection on tax-related issues. They've had -- been faced with bankruptcy and had to manage that.

We have people who have problems related to real estate, as you can imagine, that are tied to domestic violence. Particularly unmarried couples who buy real estate together and need a partition action. People who need access to their property to retrieve personal belongings. Rental problems related to domestic violence. Disability, people who need to file for disability or other types of financial benefits and supports.

Those are all areas that -- where we accept cases and refer them to private attorneys for assistance. So if you are a tax attorney, a bankruptcy attorney, real estate attorney, all kinds of work can be -- you can volunteer with the Volunteer Lawyers Project to assist survivors of domestic violence. So please -- and it's very easy to reach us, our website is vlp.org, and you

can get in touch that way and we will find people who need help with your specialized legal skills. So thank you for considering that.

However, as I said, the VLP really does prioritize work that is specifically related to domestic violence in a couple of different ways. One, as I said, is our federal grant Legal Assistance to Victims which is a focus in Franklin, Oxford, and Androscoggin County to try to connect domestic violence survivors with lawyers for whatever type of legal problem they may have. But that includes not only those other civil legal problems but family matters, which is a very specialized area, but also protection from abuse matters which I want to talk about in a little more detail.

We do provide all kinds of training opportunities for people who would like to volunteer in any of these areas, and particularly in the protection from abuse realm there are a number of sort of structural supports that we have in place that can help people who are interested in volunteering in this area.

We have a number of volunteers with us who came to us from completely unrelated fields and yet have been trained and have begun to practice as a volunteer in these areas and have been just incredible volunteer --volunteers for us.

Shout out especially to our UNUM corporate counsels. Very good.

But, in any event, we do trainings every year on domestic violence specifically. It's a multiday training. And this year in particular while we're still in the planning stages it is likely to be a very step-by-step very basic protection from abuse training. Here is where you go; here is the first thing that happens; here is where you should stand; here is what's going to happen next; that type of training. So even if you are not familiar with protection from abuse matters at all, we've got you, and we can provide training to help you be prepared to assist in these kinds of cases.

We have a biweekly meeting. Every other week we have a Zoom meeting that's very wide open to anyone who's interested to meet with other practitioners, talk about questions or problems you may have had, connect with mentors. We have advocates from a number of the domestic violence resource centers joining. They bring questions and problems that they may see in the courthouses. So it's really an open forum for discussion and support.

We do connect people with mentors who if you -- if you wanted to volunteer in this area and you would like to have someone available to answer questions and

available to you, we can connect you with a mentor for that.

I want to remind folks also of the Silent Partners program, a part of the Maine State Bar Association, which also provides mentoring support and is an incredibly valuable program.

If I could, I would like to take just a couple of minutes to talk in a little more detail about our protection from abuse panel and how that works.

We -- prior to the pandemic, maybe ten years ago,
Juliet Holmes-Smith started this program in Portland
where volunteer lawyers would get trained through the
Volunteer Lawyers Project, and supported, and would go
in person to the docket calls or the protection from
abuse dockets only in the Portland District Court. And
that program was actually very successful in partnership
with Through These Doors, the local domestic violence
resource center.

The advocates prepare the clients, they assist in managing a lot of emotional issues. The lawyers come in on that day, no preparation is needed. They come in on that day -- other than the training and getting some training and support, but no case preparation is needed on the day.

They come in, they are there for the morning through

the protection from abuse docket. They assist people who otherwise would not have representation. Where Pinetree can't help due to conflicts or capacity, the volunteer lawyers are there to pick up those cases, provide advice and guidance, negotiate, and if necessary try the case that morning.

At the end of the docket the volunteer leaves and has no further responsibility for those cases. There is a limited entry of appearance that we provide that is submitted to the court that clarifies that your appearance is only for that day and you have no continuing responsibility for the case.

So this program ran very well in Portland for many years, then of course the pandemic happened and everything got turned upside down. We reconstituted this program early on as a consultation-based program so that people in need of help could connect with a lawyer remotely by telephone or by Zoom and could help that person prepare for the hearing and know what to expect.

Some of our more intrepid volunteers entered appearance and represented people remotely on those protection from abuse matters.

And then as time went by and the -- we became better able to manage the pandemic, and the courts began to reopen to a certain extent, we now have reinstituted the

in-person services at the Portland District Court, and my big news is that we are -- my goal -- Volunteer

Lawyers Project goal is to have an in-person representation group in the Bangor District Court.

We've connected with a lot of folks in the region.

Partners For Peace is the domestic violence resource

agency that's in Bangor, Penobscot County, and will have

advocates at the courthouse to assist with this.

So, if any of you are interested in -- in joining our panels, either for Portland or for Bangor, or only for remote representation, we would welcome your assistance for those -- for that work.

And, as I said, keep your eye on the e-mail for January -- the announcement will go out in December for comprehensive training which this year will be a very nuts and bolts, 101-type training of how to handle these cases.

So, I just wanted to say of course you may have the question why should I do this? What's in it -- what's in it for me? Why should do I this?

Well, first of all, as Patrisha talked about earlier, you know, there are gaps and problems in the legal system, and it's -- I think it's important, from my perspective anyway, to remember that the legal system is not something separate from us, we are it. The legal

system is really just a bunch of lawyers.

And I feel that as a lawyer with the professional responsibilities that we take on in this privileged position it is also our responsibility to attend to this legal system and to do our part to help it work better, and one way you can do that is to volunteer to assist on these cases.

Imagine going to court with a complicated problem with a person you are afraid of and going to court by yourself. You would never do that. So it's -- it's a terrifying prospect, and we can make this system work better through our efforts.

Second, you know, there are benefits to you. I don't know about you, but I really find a lot of satisfaction in me in helping someone solve a problem and get on their way. Many of you may -- that may be why you went to law school is to help people. And while you may be frustrated with reviewing contracts or reading dense material or dealing with opposing counsel you don't like, this is a way to take a step back from that and do something that's really meaningful and satisfying and may be beneficial to you in that way to remind you of -- of why you are in this profession and what are some of the satisfactions that are available to you.

But, the third point I wanted to make about that is that really it's hard to overstate the benefit to the survivors of domestic violence if you can spend, as Patrisha said, an hour or two or three giving them advice and guidance in this circumstance. It is -- it is a terrifying situation and I hope never -- none of you have to face it, but I -- I'll just give you a very brief synopsis of one person that we were able to help last spring. And this was a young woman who was experiencing ongoing abuse and violence from her partner who was also the father of her two small children.

They were unmarried and had no formal visitation schedule in place. He -- the perpetrator assaulted the woman in front of the children, and she decided it was time to leave this abusive relationship. She was able to connect to Through These Doors and their helpline and was able to get assistance filing for a protection from abuse order in the Portland court, and the -- Through These Doors was able to refer the person to the Volunteer Lawyers Project PFA pro bono panel.

And the lawyer was able to connect with her and talk about her legal options, how a PFA and a parental rights action might work together, and she was represented by that panel attorney on a remote basis.

The panel attorney appeared remotely in the

protection from abuse hearing and she was able to obtain the order as well as a visitation order and economic support within the PFA order. She then came back to VLP and we were able to help her with her family matter case through our Courthouse Assistance Program. So it just these legal services were so essential.

And it may feel like to you how is an hour going to help? You know, how -- how is showing up, how is that going to help someone? The feedback that we get from these survivors is that it is absolutely critical, it is lifesaving, and it's -- it's life changing.

So I -- I hope that this program has been impactful for you. If you have any questions at all, you want to talk about it, you -- you would just like to chat about what VLP does, I would welcome that; and, as I said, you can reach me through our website any time. Thank you so much.

JUDGE WOLF: Thank you very much, Liz. So the findings that were made when the POWER Act was -- was enacted in 2018, these are national statistics at the time, were that 83 percent of victims represented by an attorney were able to obtain a protective order as contrasted with 32 percent of victims without an attorney. So if that -- if those numbers don't tell the big story, I don't know what does.

I'm now going to turn to Darcie McElwee. Some of you might -- may have said why does the federal court even want to have this program? Why do we care? Well, we care because we should all care. We're all people first, lawyers second, courts maybe third. I would like Darcie to speak about the -- about the collaboration on the state side and federal side and her experiences with domestic violence here.

MS. MCELWEE: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you so much for having me today. It's an honor to be on this panel with everyone else.

I suspect many of you may be wondering just what Judge Wolf just said, that you may be unaware of the involvement of domestic violence and sexual assault in federal court. I have spent the last two decades of my career in federal court handling domestic violence and sexual assault cases always with the support and guidance of exceptional victim witness advocates like Francine without whom there would be no success or healing for the victims involved.

I met Francine when I was a 25-year-old prosecutor in Bangor and learned early on the critical role of a dedicated victim witness advocate, sometimes called VWA, to this entire process, and we have and have and had fabulous ones in our -- in our offices over the years,

as do the district attorney's offices and the attorney general's office.

The most prominent way that such cases are charged in -- in federal court is what's known as the Violence Against Women Act, which was recently reauthorized by President Biden, as well as those involving firearms possessed by individuals who are prohibited from doing so as a result of two instances, first being subject to a protection from abuse order or having been previously convicted of a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence. Both of those statutes fall under what's called the Gun Control Act.

We also address sexual assault and sometimes domestic violence through charges brought under what's called the Mann Act, sometimes referred to as the Travel Act, when defendants either travel themselves or cause a victim to travel across states lines with the intention to engage in illicit sexual conduct. And we finally charge child exploitation cases, as perhaps most of you are aware.

It isn't only in those charged cases that directly involve domestic violence or sexual assault that we see domestic violence and sexual assault but rather drug cases and certainly in sex trafficking cases. Because as you've heard from the experts on this panel today,

domestic violence and sexual assaults are all about power and control.

1

2

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Many of the cases that my office handles involve vicious and cruel behavior towards victims perpetrated by those who love them most and who they trust. Because of the complicated and confusing dynamics involved in such a relationship, these cases can be difficult and even intimidating for attorneys to get involved in. I'm here to tell you that they are without a doubt some of the most rewarding work in cases I have ever done in my career, and there is really simply nothing better than giving a voice to someone who has lost theirs or to assist a child whose life has been traumatized by the acts of one of the people they trusted most in the And genuinely, as Liz said, these can be world. meaningful and life-changing experiences for you in your career as well.

Much of the domestic violence work that we do at the U.S. Attorney's office is intended to prevent further violence, especially homicide. And we all know and appreciate that we live in a relatively safe state where we do not have random acts of violence, or if we do they're rare, but based on my professional experience over the last 25 years in federal and state court in Maine there are thousands of Mainers who do not feel

safe in their own homes.

We prosecute a variety of cases involving domestic violence and sexual assault. It's a violation of federal law to possess a firearm, as I described earlier, or ammunition even, following a conviction for a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence, and in Maine -- for all of the lawyers out there -- that means assault or domestic violence assault because the definition is any crime involving the use or attempted use of physical force. It's a lifetime prohibition with no military or law enforcement exception, and these violations are investigated by ATF.

The other commonly charged statute in federal court prohibits possession of firearms and ammunition by those who are subject to a court order of protection from abuse. And that prohibition lasts only during the lifetime of the protective order, as many of the panelists have described earlier, and may be prosecuted in federal court even when what's known as the gun box isn't checked. ATF has that primary jurisdiction over the statute as well.

As for the Violence Against Womens Act, which we call VAWA, the FBI has primary jurisdiction, and it's a violation of federal law to cross state lines with the intention to injure, kill, or harass an intimate

partner. It's also against federal law to cross state lines with the intention of violating a qualifying order which protects an individual from abuse, or even simply a qualifying bail order which prohibits contact in close proximity between intimate partners.

Sometimes the FBI also brings cases involving interstate threats communicated to victims of domestic violence or sexual assault by their loved ones who may be in another state.

And lastly we charge a number of stalking statutes in federal court in cases involving defendants who cross state lines to engage in stalking behavior or who use more commonly the internet or a cell phone or other interactive communication platform to engage in a course of conduct that causes a victim or their family to experience substantial emotional distress. This conduct may be charged in federal court even when the defendant and the victim are both in the State of Maine.

I'm also pleased to share that in the last year we were successful in obtaining the first federal protection from abuse order issued by one of our judges here in district court in one of our stalking cases, and those last for three years and can be renewed with cause for another three years for a total of six years.

The most recent and high profile case recently that

my office has handled under the VAWA is recently highlighted in a New York Times article entitled <a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/jhaps.com/">The Woman on the Bridge</a> written by Ellen Barry, and I encourage you to read it.

The case, which is U.S. -v- Nelson Dion, involved a prosecution of a man who subjected his live-in girlfriend to extreme physical and sexual abuse over the course of several years in several states and culminated in this woman taking her own life by jumping from the Piscataqua Bridge between Maine and New Hampshire just minutes after getting off the phone with him.

Extraordinary collaboration between state and local law enforcement and prosecution partners, specifically an officer from the Kittery Police Department who partnered with a detective from the Portsmouth Police Department and an FBI agent, to put together a case that would send that man to prison for three years for violating the Violence Against Womens Act by repeatedly traveling dozens of times between Maine and New Hampshire to have contact with this woman while she -- he was subject to a Maine bail order prohibiting such conduct -- excuse me, contact, and while she was living in a domestic violence shelter in New Hampshire and these police officers were trying to keep her safe.

The fact that this case was in federal court and involved an extraordinary investigative team may have been rare, but the conduct involved, the abuse experienced by the victim, is not.

Every day in the State of Maine there are victims experiencing physical, sexual, emotional, and verbal abuse at the hands of their loved ones inside their own homes. And as you've heard, leaving those homes is a financial and legal burden for most. Children are often involved which makes untangling those relationships more complicated. Anything that any of you can do to help ease that burden will be a worthwhile endeavor for you as lawyers, community members, and good humans.

At this time I would love to turn it back over to Judge Wolf to have an opportunity for any of the fabulous and knowledgeable speakers here today on this panel, with whom I am honored to appear, answer any questions, and I want to thank the Court for the opportunity to address all of you about this important issue that is both a priority for me personally and for the Department of Justice.

JUDGE WOLF: Thank you very, very much, Darcie.

I know that Patrisha wants to have a few minutes to

follow up with a couple of things and then we'll open it

up for questions or any final comments by all of the

panelists.

We started a little bit late, so I hope that maybe folks can hang in with us for maybe an extra five minutes or so. So, Patrisha, you're up.

MS. MCELWEE: Thank you. Just to further put a face on domestic violence for everybody and for everyone to further understand the dynamic of power and control, we do have these bookmarks which are -- they're basically the posters that are all over the state scaled down, and there is 43 women on here with their names, and on the back of the -- of most of them are the women's power and control wheel that they filled out.

And, for instance, the economic abuse that we've all talked about about why women need pro bono legal help because their exes have more money is, you know, use an economic abuse. Like Gloria says here, well, the -- the items in the category, preventing her from getting or keeping a job, making her ask for money, giving her an allowance, taking her money and letting her -- letting -- not letting her know about or have access to family income.

And these are -- I would be happy to mail these out to any of you who would like. Just get in touch with me hello@findingourvoices and I'll put a set of these in the mail to you. Thank you.

JUDGE WOLF: Thank you very much, Patrisha.

So -- so anyway if you have not heard of some way that you can possibly help here, then I'm not sure what more we can do. There are so many different ways to help. Some of you are not lawyers on the screen today and so helping out in a legal sense may not be an option, but I will turn to any of the panelists, Francine or Rick, to talk about how else can people help lawyer or nonlawyer.

MS. STOUT: I would just -- if I can just jump in and say we love nonlawyer volunteers. We need them all the time to do all kinds of different things, and we have some that specifically work on this protection from abuse project. So if you are not -- if you are not a lawyer and you would like to get involved, please contact me and we'll hook you up.

JUDGE WOLF: Thanks, Liz. Francine.

MS. STARK: I just want to remind everyone that the domestic violence resource centers across the state the advocates are happy to consult with you. Whatever kind of law you practice I'm sure you're interacting with survivors, and it will probably have something to do with whatever you're doing, and so looping us in and creating an opportunity for that connection will be helpful to you but especially to the survivor

themselves.

Does Steve Earle have his hand up like an official hand up in his square?

JUDGE WOLF: There was a hand up, but it looks like he is --

UNIDENTIFIED: I'm sorry, that -- that was -- I didn't know I had it up, sorry.

JUDGE WOLF: No worries at all.

Rick, how can people help?

MR. DOYLE: Well, I think in all of the ways that have already been mentioned, and I want to especially emphasize that nonlawyers can help, too.

There -- we also have volunteer court advocates who go to court with people here at NextStep. And it may be it's easy to sort of gloss over this and to think, you know, well, you know, going to court with somebody and providing moral support that's good but, you know, it's not that important. It really is. It's a really important piece of leveling that playing field, you know, to have somebody there with you. And that person doesn't have to be an attorney, they can be somebody who has had some training as a -- a volunteer.

And then finally I just wanted to -- to thank everybody for taking part in this -- this meeting today and thank you for thinking about what you can do. And

we're working hard but there is a lot of work out there that needs to be done, and you're in a really good position to be able to help with that work. So thank you so much for the time that you have put in today just being here, listening to this panel.

And finally, as Francine said, we're here. You know, I mean if you're in my area, or even close to my area, and you're helping somebody out and want to kick things around with me, don't hesitate. You can reach out to me through NextStep Domestic Violence Project.

JUDGE WOLF: So thank you. So one of the things that I had said to all the panelists is that we should all wish for the day when -- when private lawyers are beating down the doors of the various organizations that assist in this important area to ask to represent people or ask to help, as opposed to the other way around. And there is so many different areas and ways to help and so many different subject matters that affect domestic violence survivors, so I feel confident that most of you lawyers out there could find an area where there is room for help.

But there are other ways to help as well. There is
-- is policy advocacy assistance to the providers and
the coalitions in that respect, involvement on boards,

mentoring, and all of those pieces need to be in place to truly end domestic violence, provide access to justice, not just justice in the courts but justice in all of the other areas that are impacted, and help people heal. So I do hope people will get involved. This is an issue that we could be discussing for hours and hours on end.

I do want to remind people that there is CLE credit for lawyers for attending today. If you need a -- yep, if you need credit that you contact Lindsey at the court and include your bar number. If there are any problems with you getting this recorded, we will help you out in that respect.

I am not seeing any particular questions. I've seen a lot of chats as we worked through the program, thank you for all of that. And what I see happening in the chats is people are sharing information as to how to do things, how to do things better, where to go, and that's exactly the point here. This is an issue where the silence needs to continue to be broken, people need to be collaborative, work together as much as they possibly can.

Any final thoughts from any of the panelists at this point?

All right. Seeing none, I want to thank you all for

being part of this program. The requirement of the POWER Act was a four-year obligation. My understanding is that there is a possibility that that may be extended. And even if it's not extended, I think that our plan here will be to do this at least yearly because it's that important.

The program itself will be posted on the Court website. And I see somebody has asked for Lindsey's e-mail, that will be available; but we'll -- we'll pop it up again right now. But if you are interested or anyone is interested in watching the program or listening to it, it will be on our website. There is Lindsey's e-mail again.

And with that, it's 1:32. I'm going to thank everybody again for being here, and have a wonderful rest of the week.

MS. MCELWEE: Thank you, Judge.

JUDGE WOLF: Thank you, all.