

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
District of Maine**

MARK CHAPMAN,)	
)	
Plaintiff)	
)	
v.)	2:11-cv-173-GZS
)	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,)	
MAINE, et al,)	
)	
Defendant)	

**ORDER AFFIRMING THE
RECOMMENDED DECISION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

The United States Magistrate Judge filed with the Court on April 28, 2011, her Recommended Decision (Docket No. 6). Plaintiff filed his Objection to the Recommended Decision (Docket No. 8) on May 10, 2011.

I have reviewed and considered the Magistrate Judge's Recommended Decision, together with the entire record; I have made a de novo determination of all matters adjudicated by the Magistrate Judge's Recommended Decision; and I concur with the recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge for the reasons set forth in her Recommended Decision, and determine that no further proceeding is necessary.

1. It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Recommended Decision of the Magistrate Judge is hereby **AFFIRMED**.
2. It is hereby **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Complaint (Docket No. 1) is **DISMISSED**.

/s/George Z. Singal _____
U.S. District Judge

Dated this 11th day of May, 2011.

Plaintiff

MARK CHAPMAN

represented by **MARK CHAPMAN**
497 CUMBERLAND AVE APT.
NO. 1
PORTLAND, ME 04101
PRO SE

V.

Defendant

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT, MAINE**

Defendant

**JUDGE JOHN A WOODCOCK,
JR**