

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MAINE**

CONSUMER ADVISORY BOARD, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Docket no. 91-CV-321-P-S
)	
BRENDA HARVEY, et al.,)	
)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER ACCEPTING THE FINAL REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER

Before the Court are the Final Report of the Special Master (Docket # 366) and the Plaintiffs’ Objections to the Final Report (Docket # 372). The Court has reviewed and considered the Final Report, together with the entire record. Pursuant to the Order of Reference (Docket # 246), the Court determines that no hearing is necessary on Plaintiffs’ Objections.

To the extent that Plaintiffs’ Objections urge the Court to accept and view the Final Report only “as a status report without formal findings and conclusions,” the Court declines to give so little weight to the substantial work of the Special Master, which was undertaken in accordance with this Court’s June 24, 2009 Order (Docket # 356). (Pls.’ Obj. at 31.)

After full review of the Report and Plaintiffs’ Objections, the Court gratefully ACCEPTS and AFFIRMS the Special Master’s Findings and Conclusions and OVERRULES Plaintiff’s Objections to the extent they can be read as opposing the Court’s acceptance of the Final Report or any of the findings and conclusions found therein. Specifically, the Court now adopts the following findings:

- (1) Defendants have “substantially complied, in a numerical sense, with the provisions of the Consent Decree” with the exception of implementation of informed consent

policies and ISC recordkeeping requirements found in subsections 6(g) and 6(h) of Sections IX of the 1994 Consent Decree.¹ (Final Report at 29.)

(2) “In the course of implementing the Consent Decree and in preparation for a post-Decree world, many systems have been put in place that could serve as ‘mechanisms for future compliance’.” (Id. at 30.) “These systems include the annual Person Centered Planning process, the Grievance and Appeal process, Advocacy Services, Adult Protective Services and Quality Assurances, as well as the systemic oversight and advocacy to be provided by the Maine Developmental Services and Oversight Board.” (Id. at 25.)

(3) Defendants’ “commitment to achieving compliance has existed and is evidenced by the Defendants’ accomplishments in achieving substantial compliance over the course of several years.” (Id. at 28.) The Special Master “found Defendants to be attentive to their responsibilities under the Court Orders.” (Id. at 29.) “It is an open question whether, absent [the Consent Decree’s] obligations and judicial supervision, [Defendants] would have been able to withstand the onslaught of continuing fiscal pressures as described [in the Final Report].” (Id.) This “is a case of Defendants who have made a substantial and sustained good faith and largely successful effort to achieve compliance” (Id.)

To the extent that Plaintiffs’ Objections argue that “the question of termination of the Decree, in accordance with its terms or otherwise, is not properly before the Court,” the Court

¹ To the extent that the Final Report also listed Adult Protective Services as a “significant open issue left for resolution” (Final Report at 22), the Court has issued a separate order finding substantial compliance with Section XVI(8) of the 1994 Consent Decree.

disagrees and believes that position is without merit. (Pls.' Obj. at 31.) Defendants' Motion for Relief from Judgment (Docket # 371) has put the issue of termination squarely before the Court. To the extent that any of the remaining arguments laid out in Plaintiffs' Objection can be read as providing a basis for opposing termination, the Court will consider and address those arguments in the context of deciding Defendants' Motion for Relief Judgment, which the Court is setting for oral argument via a separate order.

As explained herein, Plaintiffs' Objections to the Final Report (Docket # 372) are DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ George Z. Singal
United States District Judge

Dated this 2nd day of February, 2010.

Plaintiff

CONSUMER ADVISORY BOARD

represented by **JAMES R. CROTTEAU**
STOCKING & CROTTEAU, LLC
46 BERRY COVE RD
LAMOINE, ME 04605
207/667-8294
Email: jcrotteau@adelphia.net
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

BRUCE A. MCGLAUF LIN
PETRUCCELLI, MARTIN &
HADDOW
50 MONUMENT SQUARE
PORTLAND, ME 04112
207-775-0200
Email: bmcglauflin@pmhlegal.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

GERALD F. PETRUCCELLI
PETRUCCELLI, MARTIN &
HADDOW
50 MONUMENT SQUARE
PORTLAND, ME 04112
775-0200
Email: gpetruccelli@pmhlegal.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Plaintiff

AMELIA DOE

represented by **JAMES R. CROTTEAU**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Plaintiff

MARY B DOE

represented by **JAMES R. CROTTEAU**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Plaintiff

FAYE DOE

represented by **JAMES R. CROTTEAU**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Plaintiff

JOHN DOE

represented by **JAMES R. CROTTEAU**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Plaintiff

CAROL WYMAN
as guardian of APRIL WYMAN

represented by **JAMES R. CROTTEAU**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Plaintiff

ROBERTA GOSSNER
as guardian of PAMELA PERRO

represented by **JAMES R. CROTTEAU**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Plaintiff

JOHN PERRO
as guardian of PAMELA PERRO

represented by **JAMES R. CROTTEAU**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Plaintiff

ROBERT CLAVETTE

represented by **JAMES R. CROTTEAU**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Plaintiff

BONNIE HANSON

represented by **JAMES R. CROTTEAU**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Plaintiff

MARION TOOTHAKER
as guardian of WILLIAM
TOOTHAKER

represented by **JAMES R. CROTTEAU**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Plaintiff

ALL PLAINTIFFS

represented by **GERALD F. PETRUCCELLI**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

JAMES R. CROTTEAU
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

BRUCE A. MCGLAUF LIN
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

DESHAIES, ROGER
*In his capacity as Director of the
Bureau of Mental Retardation, Maine
Department of Mental Health &
Mental Retardation*

represented by **CHRISTOPHER LEIGHTON**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

HARTLEY, DONALD
*In his capacity as Superintendent of
the Pineland Center*

represented by **CHRISTOPHER LEIGHTON**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

**MAINE DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH AND HUMAN
SERVICES COMMISSIONER**

represented by **CHRISTOPHER LEIGHTON**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

JANET T. MILLS
MAINE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S
OFFICE
SIX STATE HOUSE STATION
AUGUSTA, ME 04333-0006
207-626-8599
Email: janet.t.mills@maine.gov
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Notice Only Party

CLARENCE J SUNDRAM
AUDITOR

represented by **CLARENCE J SUNDRAM**
28 TIERNEY DRIVE
DELMAR, NY 12054
518-527-1918
Fax: 518-439-9740
Email:
cjsundram@alumni.ksg.harvard.edu
PRO SE