

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MAINE**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
)	
v.)	CRIMINAL No. 2:10-CR-108-DBH
)	(CIVIL No. 2:12-cv-264-DBH)
WOLKER GEORGES,)	
)	
DEFENDANT/MOVANT)	

**ORDER AFFIRMING RECOMMENDED DECISION
OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

On June 11, 2013, the United States Magistrate Judge filed with the court, with copies to the parties, her Recommended Decision on 28 U.S.C. § 2255 Motion. On July 8, 2013, the movant filed a motion to amend his § 2255 motion that was denied by the Magistrate Judge on July 9, 2013. The movant filed his objection to the Recommended Decision on July 11, 2013. On July 18, 2013, he filed a motion for reconsideration of the denial of his motion to amend. I **DENY** the motion for reconsideration.

I have reviewed and considered the Recommended Decision, together with the entire record; I have made a *de novo* determination of all matters adjudicated by the Recommended Decision; and I concur with the recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge for the reasons set forth in the Recommended Decision, and determine that no further proceeding is necessary. The statutory mandatory minimum did not affect my sentence and, in any event, Alleyne v. United States, 133 S.Ct. 2151 (2013), has not

been held retroactive. In re Payne, No. 13-5103, 2013 WL 5200425 (10th Cir. Sept. 17, 2013); Simpson v. United States, 721 F.3d 875 (7th Cir. 2013). I do not consider new factual assertions that the movant makes in his objections to the Report and Recommendation. Bramson v. Winn, 136 Fed. Appx. 380 (1st Cir. 2005) (citing Maine Green Party v. Maine, Sec'y of State, 173 F.3d 1, 4 (1st Cir. 1999)).

It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Recommended Decision of the Magistrate Judge is hereby **ADOPTED**. The movant's Motion Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 To Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Sentence is **DENIED**.

Finally, I find that no certificate of appealability shall issue in the event the movant files a notice of appeal because there is no substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

SO ORDERED.

DATED THIS 15TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2013

/s/D. BROCK HORNBY
D. BROCK HORNBY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MAINE (PORTLAND)
CRIMINAL DOCKET No. 2:10-cv-108-DBH**

United States of America

Represented by Margaret D. McGaughey
Assistant United States Attorney
Office of the United States Attorney
District Of Maine
100 Middle Street Plaza
Portland, ME 04101
(207) 780-3257
email:
margaret.mcgaughey@usdoj.gov

v.

**Walker Georges,
Defendant**

Represented By Walker Georges, Pro Se
No. 06945-036
FMC Devens
P.O. Box 879
Ayer, MA 01432